



## Summary of key changes

The new Protective Security Policy Framework (PSPF) commenced on 1 October 2018.

**Then**  
(2014 PSPF)



**Now**  
(2018 PSPF)

### Architecture

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Complex—unclear what is mandatory</li> <li>• 29 policy documents</li> <li>• 36 core security requirements</li> <li>• 2,200 supporting controls</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Simplified—more logical, principles-based, clarity of mandatory requirements</li> <li>• 16 core requirements explained 16 policy documents—achieved by removing duplication within the PSPF and with other government legislation or policy</li> <li>• 143 supporting requirements</li> </ul> |
|--|--|

### Security governance

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Compliance encourages tick box approach to security</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adopts a life cycle approach to security management (planning, monitoring and reporting)</li> <li>• Greater focus on risk management approach</li> <li>• Clearer accountability for security and embedding security culture.</li> </ul> |
|---|--|

### Information security

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Complex classification system, leading to over classification and inaccurate handling of sensitive and security classified information</li> <li>• Insufficient focus on ICT and cyber security matters</li> <li>• Insufficient link to ISM</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Simplified classification of information.</li> <li>• Increased focus on cyber security matters.</li> <li>• Addresses inconsistency (and duplication) between the PSPF and ISM</li> </ul> |
|--|---|

### Personnel security

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Compliance approach that encourages passive and reactive approach to personnel security</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Strengthened provisions to mitigate against the insider threat</li> <li>• Highlights the importance of information sharing.</li> </ul> |
|---|---|

## New PSPF policy and guidance documents

POLICY DOCUMENT	KEY CHANGES
<b>1. Role of accountable authority</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clearer articulation of the role of the accountable authority (entity heads) and of entities with a lead role in security policy or services such as the Attorney-General's Department</li> <li>• Greater focus on shared risks and impact of entity risks on others</li> </ul>
<b>2. Management structures and responsibilities</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Introduces a new Chief Security Officer role that expands on the Security Executive role in the previous PSPF. The CSO:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ provides for more holistic oversight of entity security</li> <li>○ aligns with recommendations of the Smith review</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Provides greater flexibility in appointing security roles by removing mandatory security titles</li> <li>• Gives greater prominence to security culture</li> </ul>
<b>3. Security planning and risk management</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Enhances guidance on security risk management</li> <li>• Embeds a maturity focus throughout the planning, monitoring and reporting cycle</li> </ul>
<b>4. Security maturity monitoring</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Introduces a requirement for ongoing consideration and oversight of security; this supports the enhanced executive oversight in policies 1 and 2</li> </ul>

POLICY DOCUMENT	KEY CHANGES
<b>5. Reporting on security</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Replaces previous compliance reporting with reporting on security maturity</li> <li>• Provides greater nuance of reporting levels (Ad Hoc, Developing, Managing, Embedded) vs compliant/noncompliant</li> <li>• Allows entities to consider and report on what they are doing well and identify areas for improvement</li> <li>• Provides government with a better understanding of its whole-of-government protective security posture</li> </ul>
<b>6. Security governance for contracted goods and service providers</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No significant policy change</li> <li>• Enhanced guidance to support implementation, noting this is an ongoing area of security concern across government</li> </ul>
<b>7. Security governance for international sharing</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No significant policy change</li> <li>• More explicit guidance to support upcoming reforms to the Criminal Code related to espionage and international sharing of information</li> </ul>
<b>8. Sensitive and classified information</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Simplifies the existing classification system with a focus on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Reflecting the value of all government information in line with the Archives Act by replacing the UNCLASSIFIED security classification with 'OFFICIAL'</li> <li>○ Simplifying the confusing suite of dissemination limiting markers by consolidating previous markers (For Official Use Only - FOUO, Sensitive, Sensitive: Legal, and Sensitive: Personal) with a single marking of 'OFFICIAL: Sensitive'</li> <li>○ Retaining the ability to categorise certain types of information and describe a right to access</li> <li>○ Better reflecting the unique nature of cabinet material by establishing a special handling Cabinet caveat to replace the previous Sensitive: Cabinet DLM</li> <li>○ Discontinuing the CONFIDENTIAL classification to align with international partners. This reform recognises the limited use of the classification across government</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Given the scale of these reforms, there is extended implementation to 2020 and grandfathering of existing holdings of classified and DLM material information to avoid having to reclassify</li> </ul>
<b>9. Access to information</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No significant policy change</li> <li>• The policy more clearly articulates the link between personnel and information security</li> </ul>
<b>10. Safeguarding information from cyber threats</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increases the prominence of cyber security matters in the PSPF.</li> <li>• Provides authority for ASD's Strategies to mitigate cyber security incidents by explicitly requiring entities to implement four of ASD's 'Essential eight' strategies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ application whitelisting</li> <li>○ application patching</li> <li>○ operating system patching</li> <li>○ restricting administrative privileges</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>11. Robust ICT systems</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provides policy authority for the ICT system accreditation process detailed in the ISM; this aligns with previous PSPF practice for accreditation of physical spaces and reflects the increased prominence of cyber security matters in the PSPF</li> </ul>
<b>12. Eligibility and suitability of personnel</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consolidates previous employment screening and security clearance-related requirements, and policy guidance from various sources and streamlines guidance</li> <li>• Introduces a requirement for entities to verify a person's identification documents with the issuing authority by using the Document Verification Service</li> <li>• Clarifies roles and responsibilities in the supporting requirements</li> <li>• Improves guidance on informed consent</li> <li>• Provides definitions of key terms</li> </ul>
<b>13. Ongoing assessment of personnel</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Raises the importance of conducting annual security check</li> <li>• Strengthens provisions for assessing the ongoing suitability of security-cleared personnel</li> </ul>
<b>14. Separating personnel</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No significant policy change</li> </ul>
<b>15. Physical security for entity resources</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No significant policy change</li> <li>• Better integration between PSPF and ASIO technical notes</li> </ul>
<b>16. Entity facilities</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retains existing 'zones' approach to physical security for entity facilities</li> <li>• Better integration between PSPF and ASIO technical notes</li> </ul>